IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

STEPHEN EDWARD COX, SR.,

Case No. 2:19-cv-376-AC

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

COLETTE S. PETERS, et al.,

Defendants.

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge John V. Acosta issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on May 14, 2021. Judge Acosta recommended that this Court deny Defendants' motion for summary judgment. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act],

intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are

filed."); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding

that the court must review de novo magistrate judge's findings and recommendations if objection

is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate judge's

findings and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge Acosta's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the

face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge Acosta's

Findings and Recommendation, ECF 77. The Court DENIES Defendants' Motion for Summary

Judgment, ECF 56.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 3rd day of June, 2021.

<u>/s/ Michael H. Simon</u>

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – ORDER